



# **Advancing Sustainable Sericulture: A Review on Biological Control Agents in Managing Pests and Diseases of Mulberry and Silkworms**

**Kishore S. M<sup>a++\*</sup>, Marvi Khajuria<sup>b++</sup>, Shalini K. S<sup>a++</sup>,  
Ankit Saini<sup>c++</sup>, Shubham Srivastav<sup>d++</sup>, Manideep K.S.N<sup>d++</sup>,  
Chethan M. N<sup>e++</sup> and Parvati B Morabad<sup>a#</sup>**

<sup>a</sup> Department of Entomology, Keladi Shivappa Nayaka University of Agricultural and Horticultural Sciences, Shivamogga-577204, Karnataka, India.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Entomology, Shere -Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology (SKUAST-JAMMU), Chatha, India.

<sup>c</sup> Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, 125004, India.

<sup>d</sup> Department of Crop protection, Indian institute of Horticultural Research, India

<sup>e</sup> Department of Agronomy, Keladi Shivappa Nayaka University of Agricultural and Horticultural Sciences, Shivamogga, India.

## **Authors' contributions**

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author KSM designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors MK, SKS, AS, SS, MKSN and CMN managed the analyses of the study. Author PBM managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

## **Article Information**

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i92910>

### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/123800>

**Review Article**

**Received: 17/07/2024**

**Accepted: 19/09/2024**

**Published: 24/09/2024**

<sup>++</sup> Ph.D Scholar;

<sup>#</sup> M. Sc Scholar;

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [kp464751@gmail.com](mailto:kp464751@gmail.com);

**Cite as:** S. M, Kishore, Marvi Khajuria, Shalini K. S, Ankit Saini, Shubham Srivastav, Manideep K.S.N, Chethan M. N, and Parvati B Morabad. 2024. "Advancing Sustainable Sericulture: A Review on Biological Control Agents in Managing Pests and Diseases of Mulberry and Silkworms". *Journal of Experimental Agriculture International* 46 (9):1139-46. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i92910>.

## ABSTRACT

Sericulture, the culture of rearing of silkworms (*Bombyx mori* L.) for silk production, is significantly impacted by pests and pathogens that affect silkworms and mulberry plants which is primary food source for these insects. Traditional chemical pesticides, though effective, pose risks including environmental pollution and harm to non-target organisms. To address these issues, the sericulture industry is increasingly adopting biological control methods, which utilize natural enemies such as parasitoids, predators and pathogens to manage pest populations in an eco-friendly manner. This approach offers several advantages: it minimizes environmental pollution, protects beneficial organisms and promotes sustainability by leveraging natural pest regulation mechanisms. Key biological control agents in sericulture include parasitoids like *Acerophagus papayae* and *Trichogramma chilonis*, predators such as ladybird beetles, and pathogens including fungal and bacterial species. Despite its benefits, biological control faces challenges such as slower action and environmental dependencies. Effective implementation requires careful planning, integration with other pest management strategies and ongoing monitoring. Overall, biological control represents a significant advancement towards more sustainable and resilient sericulture practices.

**Keywords:** Sericulture; biological control; parasitoids; predators; pathogens; sustainable agriculture.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Sericulture, relies heavily on the health and productivity of mulberry plants, the sole food source for these insects. However, both mulberry plants and silkworms are vulnerable to a variety of pests and diseases, which can significantly reduce leaf quality and yield. Infestations from pests and diseases can result in an estimated 25% reduction in mulberry foliage, adversely impacting the nutritional value of the leaves and, consequently, the growth and silk production of silkworms [1]. Historically, chemical pesticides have been the conventional method for controlling these pest and disease threats. While effective in the short period, the use of chemicals has led to significant unintended consequences, including environmental pollution, health risks to humans and the disruption of beneficial insect populations [2]. These negative impacts highlight the limitations of chemical control and underscore the need for more sustainable pest management solutions. In response to these challenges, the sericulture industry has increasingly explored biological control methods. This approach employs natural enemies such as parasitoids, predators and pathogens to manage pest populations in an environmentally friendly and sustainable manner [3]. Biological control offers a viable alternative by leveraging natural mechanisms to regulate pest populations, thereby reducing depending on harmful chemical pesticides, [4]. By integrating these methods, sericulture can enhance both the health of mulberry plants and also productivity of

silkworms while minimizing adverse environmental and health effects. The adoption of biological control represents a significant step towards achieving more sustainable and resilient sericulture practices, [5].

## 2. BIOLOGICAL CONTROL AGENTS IN SERICULTURE

Biological control involves the use of natural enemies, such as parasitoids, predators and pathogens to control pest populations. This approach offers a promising solution by targeting specific pests in a manner that minimizes environmental impact and promotes long-term pest management sustainability [6,7,8].

### 2.1 Parasitoids

Parasitoids are organisms that spend a significant period of their life cycle attached to or within a host organism, eventually killing it. They offer high specificity and effectiveness in pest control due to their targeted nature. In sericulture, several parasitoids have demonstrated efficacy against pests affecting mulberry and silkworms.

#### ➤ *Acerophagus papaya*

*Acerophagus papayae* is a parasitoid effective against the papaya mealybug (*Paracoccus marginatus*), a significant pest of mulberry. This parasitoid targets the early nymphal stages of the mealybug, making it highly specific to its host.

Recommended release practices involve inoculating 100 adults per acre as soon as the pest is detected. The use of *Acerophagus papayae* has been shown to significantly reduce mealybug populations, thereby protecting mulberry plants and ensuring better leaf quality, [9].

#### ➤ **Trichogramma Species**

*Trichogramma chilonis* is a well-documented egg parasitoid used extensively to control a range of lepidopteran pests. This tiny parasitoid targets the eggs of various moths and butterflies that infest mulberry plants. The recommended release rate is 100,000 adults per acre in split doses. *Trichogramma chilonis* has been particularly effective in managing pests such as leaf webbers and wasp moths, leading to improved crop health and yield [4]. Similarly, *Trichogramma embryophagum* has shown promise in controlling other pest species through egg parasitism, [10].

#### ➤ **Nesolynx thymus**

The uzi fly (*Exorista bombycis*) is a significant parasitoid of silkworms, causing substantial damage. *Nesolynx thymus*, a hymenopteran parasitoid, targets this fly and has proven effective in managing its populations. To optimize its impact, *Nesolynx thymus* should be released in coordination with the silkworm rearing stages. This timing ensures that the parasitoid effectively controls the uzi fly, reducing its detrimental effects on silk production [6,11].

**Predators:** Predators are organisms that consume multiple prey items throughout their lifetime for survival, playing a crucial role in managing pest populations. In sericulture, several key predators have been utilized effectively, [12].

**Ladybird beetles:** Ladybird beetles, such as *Cryptolaemus montrouzieri* and *Scymnus coccivora*, are effective predators of the pink mealybug *Pseudococcus longispinus*. Both species consume various life stages of mealybugs, including eggs, nymphs and adults. For optimal control, it is recommended to release 250 beetles per acre per year, split into two doses [4,6]. Careful timing and monitoring of beetle releases are essential to maximizing their impact [4,6,13]. Regular assessments of mealybug populations and beetle activity can

enhance the effectiveness of this biocontrol strategy. Their voracious feeding habits help maintain pest populations at manageable levels [14].

**Other predatory insects:** In addition to ladybird beetles, other predatory insects have shown potential in sericulture. For instance, the predatory bug *Orius insidiosus* has been reported to effectively manage pest populations of thrips and other small pests in mulberry and silkworm habitats. Lacewing larvae are commonly known as “aphid lions” as they feed on aphids, whiteflies and other small insects. Their presence in mulberry orchards can significantly reduce pest numbers [15]. The integration of these predators into pest management strategies can enhance overall effectiveness. [16,17].

**Case studies and recommendations:** Several case studies illustrate the successful application of biological control agents in sericulture.

**Ladybird beetles against pink mealybug:** The use of ladybird beetles, such as *Cryptolaemus montrouzieri* and *Scymnus coccivora*, has proven highly effective in controlling pink mealybug populations. Careful timing and monitoring of beetle releases are essential to maximizing their impact [4,7,9]. Regular assessments of mealybug populations and beetle activity can enhance the effectiveness of this biocontrol strategy.

**Trichogramma chilonis against lepidopteran pests:** *Trichogramma chilonis*, a tiny parasitoid targeting the eggs of various moths and butterflies, has been successful in reducing pest populations in infested crop fields. For optimal results, *Trichogramma chilonis* should be used in conjunction with other pest management strategies to achieve comprehensive control [4,18].

**Nesolynx thymus against uzi fly:** *Nesolynx thymus*, a hymenopteran parasitoid, has effectively managed uzi fly populations affecting silkworms, [19]. The timing of its release, aligned with the silkworm rearing stages, is crucial for maximizing its impact on the uzi fly [6]. Regular monitoring and adjustment of release strategies can improve outcomes, [20].

**Pathogens:** Pathogens used in biological control include bacteria, fungi and viruses

that specifically target pests. Although their application is less widespread compared to parasitoids and predators, they can be effective under certain conditions.

### ➤ Fungal Pathogens

Fungal pathogens such as *Beauveria bassiana* and *Metarhizium anisopliae* have been utilized to control various pests affecting mulberry plants. These fungi infect and kill pests through direct contact and are particularly effective against insect pests, [21]. *Beauveria bassiana*, for example, has been shown to control whiteflies, aphids and spider mites that infest mulberry [22]. Beneficial fungus, *M. anisopliae* targets soil-dwelling pests and can be effective against beetles and other ground-dwelling insects that affect mulberry plants [23].

### ➤ Bacterial Pathogens

Bacterial pathogens, such as *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt), are well-known for their efficacy against certain pests. Bt produces toxins that specifically target the larvae of insects like leaf rollers and caterpillars. The application of Bt in sericulture can help manage these pests while minimizing harm to beneficial organisms. This bacterium produces toxins that specifically target caterpillars and other insect larvae. Bt formulations are commonly used in organic mulberry cultivation to control pests like borers [24].

## 2.2 Antagonistic Microorganisms

**Trichoderma species:** *Trichoderma harzianum* and *Trichoderma viride* are antagonistic microorganisms effective against various fungal pathogens affecting mulberry, [25,26]. These fungi work by outcompeting pathogens for resources and producing antagonistic compounds that inhibit pathogen growth [27]. Their application can significantly reduce disease incidence and support healthier mulberry plants, [2,28].

**Plant extracts:** Natural plant extracts, such as garlic, neem and tulsi, have demonstrated efficacy against fungal

diseases like *Aspergillois* and *muscardine*. Extracts of garlic and datura have been particularly effective, reducing disease incidence without negatively impacting commercial silk production. Incorporating these natural remedies [29].

**Effective implementation of bio-control agents:** Effective implementation of bio-control agents requires careful planning and integration into a comprehensive pest management strategy. Key approaches include:

1. **Selecting the right bio-control agents:** Success depends on matching the appropriate bio-control agent to the specific pest and environmental conditions. For instance: *Trichogramma* species are useful for controlling lepidopteran pests by parasitizing their eggs. Lady beetles (Coccinellidae) are efficient predators of aphids and scale insects. *Beauveria bassiana*, a type of entomopathogenic fungus, is effective against a wide range of insect pests, while *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt) targets caterpillar pests [30]. Understanding the life cycle and behavior of both the pest and bio-control agent is critical.
2. **Proper timing and application:** Timing the release of bio-control agents is crucial for maximizing their impact. This involves synchronizing the introduction of agents with the vulnerable stages of pest populations. For example, *Trichogramma* wasps should be released when moths are laying eggs to ensure they parasitize before hatching [31,32]. Additionally, appropriate application techniques should be used to distribute the agents effectively across affected areas.
3. **Monitoring and evaluation:** Continuous monitoring is essential to track the performance of bio-control agents. Regular assessments of pest populations and bio-control agent activity help determine whether adjustments are needed. Monitoring also allows for tactical decisions, such as increasing release frequency or modifying application methods if initial efforts are insufficient. This ongoing evaluation helps improve bio-control strategies over time [33].

### 3. ADVANTAGES AND LIMITATIONS OF BIOLOGICAL CONTROL

Biological control offers several promising advantages over traditional chemical methods:

**Pollution-free:** Biological control agents do not harm to air, soil or water pollution, making them an environmentally friendly alternative to chemical pesticides [34]. This advantage aligns with the growing emphasis on sustainable agricultural practices [35].

**Safe for non-target organisms:** Biological control agents typically target specific to pests, leaving other organisms unharmed [36]. This specificity helps maintain ecological balance and supports biodiversity within the sericulture environment [37].

**Cost-effectiveness:** When it has been set up, bio-control agents for pest management are long-term. Because they may have setup costs in their introduction and the costs involved in pest management if reduced through the use of these organisms may prove cheaper in the long run mainly because of little or no use of chemicals [38].

**Sustainable:** Biological control agents can perpetuate themselves as long as the pest populations persist, offering a form of long-term pest management [32]. This sustainability is beneficial for maintaining healthy sericulture ecosystems over time [16].

**Despite these advantages, biological control also has some limitations:**

**Slower process:** Biological control methods often take longer to achieve observable results compared to chemical treatments. The gradual nature of biological control can be a drawback in cases requiring immediate pest suppression, [39].

**Limited scope:** Some biological control agents may not be effective against all types of pests or in all environmental conditions. This limitation necessitates careful selection and application based on the specific pest and environmental context.

#### **Dependency on environmental conditions:**

The effectiveness of biological control agents can be depended by various environmental factors, such as the presence of alternate hosts or hyper-parasites. These factors can impact the success of biological control strategies and require ongoing monitoring [37]. The effectiveness of bio-control agents can be influenced by environmental conditions such as temperature, humidity, and habitat structure. For example, certain biocontrol agents may not perform well in extreme weather conditions or poorly managed environments [40,41].

### 4. CONCLUSION

Biological control represents a highly promising and environmentally friendly alternative to traditional chemical pest management in sericulture. By harnessing natural enemies such as parasitoids, predators and pathogens, the sericulture industry can effectively manage pests and diseases while reducing reliance on harmful chemicals. This approach not only mitigates environmental and health risks but also promotes more sustainable practices within the industry. Despite its potential, biological control does come with challenges, including slower action times and limitations in its effectiveness across all pest types and environmental conditions. However, its significant benefits-such as minimizing pollution, protecting non-target organisms, and offering long-term pest management solutions-make it an invaluable component of integrated pest management strategies. To maximize the effectiveness of biological control, careful planning and ongoing research are essential. This includes optimizing the release rates and timing of biocontrol agents, integrating biological control with other pest management practices, and continually monitoring and evaluating their impact. As the sericulture industry continues to evolve towards more sustainable practices, biological control will undoubtedly play a crucial role in ensuring its future resilience and success.

#### **DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)**

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

- Rajadurai S, Thiagarajan V. Mulberry sap sucking pests. Indian silk. 2003;42(4): 5-8.
- Nordlund DA. Biological control with entomophagous insects. Journal Georgan Entomological society (2nd supp.). 1984;14-27.
- Van Lenteren JC. Evaluation, mass production, quality control and release of entomophagous insects; in Biological Plant and Health Protection. Frang, J. M. (ed.). Fisher Verlag, Stuttgart. 1986;31-58.
- Singh RN, Sinha BRRP, Sinha SS. Host discrimination between parasitised and unparasitised uzi fly pupae by females of *Trichomalopsis apanteloctena* Crawford (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae). Trends Life Sci. 1994;9:27-32.
- Doutt RL. Biological characteristics of entomophagous adults; in Biological control of insect pests and weeds. DeBach, P. (ed.). Reinhold, New York. 1964;145-167.
- Singh RN, Thangavelu K. First record of *Trichomalopsis apanteloctena* on the uzi fly to its parasitoid. Indian Journal of Sericulture. 1995;34:164-165.
- Singh RN, Thangavelu K. Interference among females of *Trichomalopsis apanteloctena* (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) and its effect on progeny production. Journal of Insect Science. 1999;12:77-78.
- Hagen KS, Bombosch S, Mc Murthy JA. The biology and impact of predators; in Theory and practices of biological control. Huffaker CB, Messenger PS (eds.). Academic Press. New York. 1976; 93-142.
- Narendra Kumar JB, Gopinath OK, Sreenivas BT, Divya SH, Shylesha AN, Vinod Kumar, Shekhar MA, Qadri SMH. Mass production of *Acerophagus papayae* to contain papaya mealybug. Indian Silk: A Journal of Sericulture. 2011;2(1): 4-6.
- Narendra Kumar JB, Magadum SB, Kamble CK. Mass Production technique of *Trichogramma* – An egg parasitoid of mulberry leaf roller. Indian Silk: A Journal of Sericulture. 2003;41(10):12-14.
- Devaiah MC, Govindan R, Narayanaswamy KC. Life cycle of uzi fly *Exorista bombycis*, in Recent Advances in Uzi Fly Research. Karnataka State Sericulture Development Institute, Bangalore, India. 1992;1-12.
- Hassell MP. The dynamics of arthropod predator-prey systems. Princeton University press. New York; 1978.
- Mani M, Krishnamoorthy A, Shivaraju C. A wonderful predator (*Cryptolaemus*). Lap Lambert Academic Publishing. 2013;232.
- Sakthivel N, Kumaresan P, Qadri SM, Ravikumar J, Balakrishna R. Adoption of integrated pest management practices in sericulture-A case study in Tamil Nadu. Journal of Biopesticides. 2012;5:212
- Singh RN, Maheshwari M, Saratchandra B. Sampling, surveillance and forecasting of insect population for integrated pest management in sericulture. International Journal of Industrial Entomology. 2004; 8(1):17-26.
- Rajadurai S. Mass production technology of predators and parasitoids recommended in IPM practices and of mulberry pests with their insectary models and their requirements. In: Mulberry Crop Protection (Edt. by Govindaia et al.), CSB publication. 2005;431-459.
- Bhattacharjee SS, Chakraborty N, Kumar CA, Sahakundu AK. Control of white powdery mildew, *Phyllactinia corylea* (pers) KARST. with the ladybird beetle, *Illeis indica* Timb. (Coccinellidae: Coleoptera). Sericologia: An International Journal of Sericulture and Silk Production. 1994; 34:485-491.
- Jalali SK, Rabindra J, Rao NS, Dasan CB. Mass production of *trichogrammatids* and chrysopids. Project Directorate of Biological control, Bangalore, India. Technical Bulletin No. 2003;33.
- Datta RK, Mukherjee PK. Life history of *Tricholyga bombycis* (Diptera: Tachnidae) a parasite of *Bombyx mori* L. (Lepidoptera: Bombycidae). Annals of the Entomological Society of America. 1978;71:767-770.
- Ram Kishore, Kumar P. Parasitoid of uzi fly *Exorista sorbillans* Wiedmann (Diptera:

- Tachinidae) XII. Biology of *Trichopria* sp. (Hymenoptera: Diapriidae); 1990.
21. De Iej, F.A.A.M, Kerry BR. The Nematophagous fungus *Verticillium chlamydosporium* as a potential biological control agent against *Meloidogyne arenaria*. *Revue de Nematologie*. 1991; 14:157-164.
  22. Singh D, Raina TK, Singh J. Entomopathogenic fungi: An effective biocontrol agent for the management of insect populations naturally. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research*. 2017;9(6):833.
  23. Singh A, Kumar V, Majumdar M, Guha L, Neog K. A Comprehensive review of insect pest management in Muga Silkworm (*Antheraea assamensis* Helfer): Current Scenario and Future Prospects. *Journal of Experimental Agriculture International*. 2024;46(5):47-55.
  24. Liu SS, Rao A, Vinson SB. Biological Control in China: Past, present and future - An introduction to this special issue. *Biol. Control*. 2014;68(1):5.
  25. Latha J, Govindaiah, Datta RK. In vitro toxic effect of culture filtrate of *Trichoderma* species against *Fusarium pallidorozeum* causing leaf blight in Mulberry. *Indian Journal of Sericulture*. 1993; 32:121-123.
  26. Banerjee S, Pal S, Mukherjee S, Podder D, Mukherjee A, Nandi A, Debnath P, Sur PK, Ghosh SK. Cellular abnormalities induced by *Trichoderma* spp. during in vitro interaction and control of white muscardine (*Beauveria bassiana*) and green muscardine (*Metarhizium anisopliae*) diseases of silkworm *Bombyx mori*. *Journal of Biopesticides*. 2016;9(2):104-112.
  27. Dhahira Beevi N, Qadri SMH. Biological control of Mulberry root rot diseases (*Fusarium* spp.) with antagonistic microorganisms. *Journal of biopesticides*. 2010;3(1):90-92.
  28. Shivapratp HR, Philip T, Sharma DD. *In vitro* antagonism of *Trichoderma* species against Mulberry leaf spot pathogen, *Cercospora moricola*. *Indian Journal of Sericulture*. 1996;35:107-110.
  29. Krishna Prasad KS, Siddaramaiah AH, Ligaraju S. Possible control of muscardine disease of silkworm by using few plant extracts. *Current Research*. 1979;8(5):79-80.
  30. Nadaf HA, Vishaka GV, Sathyanarayana K, Chandrashekharaiiah M, Rathore MS, Balaji Chowdary N, Reddy BT, Selvaraj C. Integrated farming system—a key to sustainable livelihood in tasar sericulture. *Journal of Experimental Zoology India*. 2022, Jul 1;25(2).
  31. Bhat A, Fatima A and Dar KA. Biocontrol and its role in sericulture: A review paper. *International Journal of Advance Research in Science and Engineering*. 2018;7:1881-5.
  32. Singh RN, Saratchandra B. An integrated approach in the pest management in sericulture. *International Journal of Industrial Entomology*. 2002;5(2):141-51.
  33. Cappellozza S, Casartelli M, Sandrelli F, Saviane A, Tettamanti G. Silkworm and Silk: Traditional and Innovative Applications. *Insects*. 2022, Nov 3;13(11):1016.
  34. Sabbahi R, Hock V, Azzaoui K, Saoiabi S, Hammouti B. A global perspective of entomopathogens as microbial bio-control agents of insect pests. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Research*. 2022, Dec 1;10:100376.
  35. Pritam Singh, Moore RF. *Handbook of Insect Rearing*, Vol. I & II. Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam; 1980.
  36. Sharma K, Kapoor B. Sericulture as a profit-based industry—A review. *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Biosciences*. 2020;8(4):550-62.
  37. Van Lenteren JC. Biological Pest Control: Passing fashion of here to stay. *Organorama: A Journal of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology*. 1983:20:3-9.
  38. Wani MY, Mir MR, Baqual MF, Mehraj K, Bhat TA, Rani S. Role of foliar sprays in sericulture industry. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 2017;6(4):1803-6.
  39. Sathyaprasad K, Manjunath D, Ashwani Kumar C. Insectary facilities and mass production of parasitoids. In: *Silkworm Crop Protection* (Edt. By Govindaiah et al.) CSB publication. 2005;387-394.

40. Leger RJ, Wang C. Genetic engineering of fungal bio-control agents to achieve greater efficacy against insect pests. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*. 2010;901-907.
41. Singh RN, Maheshwari M. Biological control of pests of Non-mulberry silkworms and its host plants in India. *International Journal of Industrial Entomology*. 2002;4(2):83-91.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/123800>