



Characterization of Microplastics from Otammiri River Imo State, Nigeria

Nduka-Chukwudi, Chidimma Adamma^{a,b*},
Okereke, Josephat Nwabueze^b,
Mgbemena, Ifeyinwa Celestina^b
and Ezeji, Ethelbert Uchechukwu^b

^a National Inland Waterways Authority, Nigeria.
^b Federal University of Technology Owerri, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajee/2024/v23i7576>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/119023>

Original Research Article

Received: 15/04/2024
Accepted: 17/06/2024
Published: 22/06/2024

ABSTRACT

This study characterized microplastics from Otammiri River, Imo state, Nigeria. The study was carried out according to the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) protocol for surface water trawling for microplastics. The microplastics characterized were at the range of 0.3 mm to 5mm which were resistance to wet oxidation and exhibited flotation in a 5M NaCl. Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) examination was used to characterize the microplastics for identification. FTIR results revealed that polypropylene (PP), polyethylene (PE), polyethylene terephthalate (PET) polystyrene (PS), and polyurethane were the predominant polymers (microplastics) found across Otammiri river.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: ndukachidimma@gmail.com;

Cite as: Adamma, Nduka-Chukwudi, Chidimma, Okereke, Josephat Nwabueze, Mgbemena, Ifeyinwa Celestina, and Ezeji, Ethelbert Uchechukwu. 2024. "Characterization of Microplastics from Otammiri River Imo State, Nigeria". *Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology* 23 (7):231-39. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajee/2024/v23i7576>.

Keywords: Fourier-transform infrared; microplastics; Otammiri River.

1. INTRODUCTION

The term "plastic" is a broad one, referring generally to any synthetic, water-insoluble polymer, typically of petrochemical origin, that can be molded when heated and shaped into various forms [1]. Microplastics occur in the environment as a consequence of plastic pollution. Manta nets the most used in the marine environment for sampling and monitoring microplastic (MP) contamination [2]. A Manta net consists of a frame part and the collecting net terminates in a collector. The three main parts of this net are: the floating/stabilizing part, the opening mouth and the net bag, and each of them can have its own specifications. Sampling requires the use of a motorboat or similar vessel, or a sailing boat. Usually, microplastic detection can be categorized into two steps, one being physical (i.e., color, size), and the other being chemical (i.e., composition, structure) identification [3-7]. Visual analysis, i.e., unaided eyes with forceps, is used to identify larger and some small colored MPs [8]. There is a high chance, however, that most small particles will be missed during analysis. There are two methods of chemical characteristics as destructive and nondestructive methods. Non-destructive methods are Raman spectroscopy and FTIR, while destructive methods are liquid chromatography (LC), gas chromatography

connected with mass spectrometry (GC-MS), subsiding pyrolysis gas chromatography-mass spectrometry, and thermal desorption gas chromatography [9,10]. FTIR is a mostly used non-destructive technique for the detection of microplastics. The aim of the study was to characterize microplastics from Otammiri River, Imo State, Nigeria.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Study Area

The Otammiri River, situated in Imo State, Nigeria. Geographically, located between latitudes 5°17'N and 5°30'N, and longitudes 6°58'E and 7°04'E. It originates from Egbu, an empyies in Imo River. The entire length of the river measures approximately 30 km [11].

2.2 Collection Points of Samples

The location and accessibility points for samples collection from Otammiri River, Imo State Nigeria are listed below [12].

- a. Wetheral, Owerri, 460281, Lat 5.4718910 and Long 7.04160 (upstream).
- b. Nekede 460106, Lat 5.4429280 and Long 7.0228630 (middle stream 2).




Fig. 1. Location and accessibility map of the study area [12]

- c. Ihiagwa Otammiri Road, Ihiagwa 460113, Lat 5.388439° and Long 6.987726° (middle stream 1)
- d. Ekwuato 464115, Lat 5.3347170 and Long 6.9656820 Imo, Nigeria (downstream)

2.3 Collection of Microplastics from Surface Water of Otammiri

The collection of surface water microplastic samples was conducted using a surface manta trawl, adhering to the protocol outlined by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) for surface water trawling for microplastics [12,13].

2.4 Collection of Microplastics from Sediment Samples of Otammiri

The sediment samples were obtained utilizing a grab sampler [12,1] and deposited into clean glass jars. At each sampling point, five sediment samples were collected, ensuring representation across the area, and subsequently harmonized for analysis. Proper labeling was applied to each sample, and they were transported to the laboratory for further analysis.

2.5 Characterization of Microplastics from Otammiri River

Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) examination was used to characterize microplastics from Ota-miri River for identification. This was done at SpringBoard Research Laboratory, Awka, Nigeria.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Characterization of Microplastics Present in Surface Water and Sediment Samples across Otammiri River

The graph in Fig. 2 showed that Ekwuato (downstream) had the highest wavelength for surface water microplastics as 3821.291 cm^{-1} while 831.7145 cm^{-1} was the least recorded wavelength. Polyurethane exhibited the highest presence with a wavelength of 2044.24 cm^{-1} , while polycarbonate had the least presence at 1855.77 cm^{-1} .

Fig. 3, showed that the highest wavelength as 3818.904 cm^{-1} and the least as 695.0075 cm^{-1} for microplastics at the surface water for Ihiagwa location. Polystyrene was predominant with a

wavelength of 1440.46 cm^{-1} , whereas polypropylene had the lowest presence at 695.01 cm^{-1} .

The highest wavelength in Fig 4 was 3815.881 cm^{-1} while the least was 769.2611 cm^{-1} for surface water at Nekede location. Polypropylene (1400.57 cm^{-1}) prevailed over polyvinyl chloride (1879.29 cm^{-1}), as shown in Fig. 4.

Fig. 5 demonstrates that the polyamide group was predominant at 2131.05 cm^{-1} , while the polypropylene group had the lowest presence at 682.53 cm^{-1} . The highest wavelength was 3806.17 cm^{-1} while the least was 682.53 cm^{-1} for the surface microplastics at Wetheral (upstream) of Otammiri River.

In Fig. 6, Ekwuato location (downstream) had the highest sediment microplastics wavelength as 3818.51 cm^{-1} and the least 818.8468 cm^{-1} . Polystyrene was prevalent at 1382.67 cm^{-1} , with polyamide observed as the least occurrence at 1141.33 cm^{-1} .

Polyurethane exhibited the highest peak at 2022.58 cm^{-1} , while polyamide had the lowest presence at 3353.91 cm^{-1} , recorded for microplastics obtained from the sediment at Ihiagwa location, as depicted in Fig. 7. The highest wavelength was recorded as 3815.846 while the least was 840.3417 cm^{-1} .

Fig. 8 shows that 3871.776 cm^{-1} was the highest wavelength for the microplastic obtained at sediment of Otammiri River at Nekede location and the least 734.06 cm^{-1} . Polyethylene terephthalate had the highest peak area at 3871.78 cm^{-1} , while polyamide had the lowest presence at 3445.66 cm^{-1} .

Lastly, in Fig. 9, the highest wavelength recorded for the microplastics at the sediment of the upstream (Wetheral) of Otammiri River was 3806.174 cm^{-1} and the least 775.6332 cm^{-1} . Polyamide exhibited the highest presence at 2107.54 cm^{-1} compared to polyethylene terephthalate at 3151.55 cm^{-1} .

4. DISCUSSION

4.1 Surface

Generally, polystyrene and polypropylene were dominant in the surface water. The presence of these groups of microplastics indicates the wide use of "Styrofoam" as packaging material for fast




Fig. 2. FTIR of Microplastics from Ekwuato, Downstream of Otammiri River Surfaces

foods, popularly called “take-away” in Nigeria. They have good buoyancy and floating ability in water [14,15]. Estimated 60 million “pure water” plastic sachet water bags are disposed daily in Nigeria [16]. The poor recycling of the materials in Nigeria means that the polystyrene often ends up in the environment where they degrade overtime. Polystyrene particles with sizes below 10µm have been in a different study to penetrate blood vessels and cause destruction of red blood cells [17]. This means that the consumption of Otammiri river water by humans and animals

poses a potential health risk due to the presence of micro-ranged polystyrene particles. Polyethylene, polyamide and polypropylene have been identified as common thermoplastics used in packaging products such as film, shopping bags, bottles, toys, house wares, juice containers, plastic packaging fibers and textiles. These plastic polymers groups possess lower density compounds to water, hence their dominance in the water phase. Their natural buoyancy makes them float on water surfaces.




Fig. 3. FTIR of Microplastics from Ihiagwa, Otammiri River Surfaces




Fig. 4. FTIR of Microplastics from Nekede 460106, Otammiri River Surfaces




Fig. 5. FTIR of Microplastics from Wetheral, Owerri, Upstream, Otammiri River




Fig. 6. FTIR of Microplastics from Ekwuato, Downstream of Otammiri River Sediments




Fig. 7. FTIR of Microplastics from Ihiagwa, Otammiri River Sediments




Fig. 8. FTIR of Microplastics from Nekede, Otammiri River Sediments




Fig. 9. FTIR of Microplastics from Wetheral, Owerri, Upstream, Otammiri River Sediments

Harmful consequences of MPs ingestion include endocrine, reproductive and developmental disruption, cellular and immune system damage, and negative impact on energy budget [18,19]. The increased likelihood of aquatic organism to swallow and ingest MPs could also be of ecological concerns because they can be easily mistaken for food material, leading to artificial starvation and increased incidences of mortality.

The indirect effects of MPs may include physical irritation, blockage of gills and occlusion of the digestive tract by smaller particles that are not easily dislodged [20].

4.2 Sediment

Polyethylene terephthalate (PET), Polyamide (PA) and Polystyrene were major polymer

samples found in the sediments. This may be because of their densities and material sources. Polystyrene is an engineering solid polymer, used for some heavy items. Size, density and shape determine the rate at which plastics sink into deeper waters and sediments [21]. Their presence reflects long-time contamination for both terrestrial and aquatic environment resulting in long-term ecological pollution and impact [22,23]. The ubiquity of microplastics in sediment samples showed that plastics can sink into deeper water.

5. CONCLUSION

The proliferation of microplastics in aquatic environments poses significant threats to both environmental and human health. Microplastics, due to their pervasive nature and durability, has been detected in Otammiri River and characterized with the potentials of bioaccumulation in marine and freshwater ecosystems. Therefore, there is urgent need by policymakers to enlighten the public on the adverse effects of microplastics and possible improvement in waste management systems.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Miller E, Sedlak M, Lin D, Box C, Holleman C, Rochman C, Sutton R. Recommended best practices for collecting, analyzing, and reporting microplastics in environmental media: Lessons learned from comprehensive monitoring of San Francisco Bay. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*. 2021;409:124770.
2. Pasquier G, Doyen P, Kazour M, Dehaut A, Diop M, Duflos G, Amara R. Manta Net: The Golden Method for Sampling Surface Water Microplastics in Aquatic Environments. *Front. Environ. Sci*. 2022; 10:811112.
3. Sun J, Dai X, Wang Q, van Loosdrecht MC, Ni BJ. Microplastics in wastewater treatment plants: detection, occurrence and removal. *Water Res*. 2019;152:21–37.
4. Ramírez-Sánchez, Hermes Ulises, Aida Lucia Fajardo-Montiel, José de Jesús Cabrera-Chavarría, and Julieta Carrasco-García. Evaluation of Water Quality and the Potential Ecological and Health Risk in the Cajititlán Lagoon. *Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International*. 2023;27(11):11-28. Available: <https://doi.org/10.9734/jgeesi/2023/v27i11724>.
5. Kamau, Moses, Mwakio Tole, and Mohamed K. Timamy. Micro-Plastics in Aquatic Environment: Source, Fate, Emerging Threats, and Regulatory Effort. *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*. 2023;13(10):3218-25. Available: <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijec/2023/v13i102989>.
6. Ahmad M, Li JL, Wang PD, Hozzein WN, Li WJ. Environmental perspectives of microplastic pollution in the aquatic environment: a review. *Marine Life Science & Technology*. 2020;2:414-30.
7. Sonune A, Ghate R. Developments in wastewater treatment methods. *Desalination*. 2004;167:55-63.
8. Thuhin KD, Elias U, Mamun J. Detection and removal of microplastics in wastewater: evolution and impact. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*. 2021;28:16925–16947.
9. Araujo CF, Nolasco MM, Ribeiro AM, Ribeiro-Claro PJ. Identification of microplastics using Raman spectroscopy: latest developments and future prospects. *Water Resources*. 2018;142:426–440
10. Lares M, Ncibi MC, Sillanpää M, Sillanpää M. Occurrence, identification and removal of microplastic particles and fibers in conventional activated sludge process and advanced MBR technology. *Water Resources*. 2018;133:236–246
11. Fagorite VI, Ahirakwem CA, Okeke OC, Onyekuru SO. Physico-Chemical Characteristics of Otamiri River and Its Sediments in Parts of Owerri. *Elixir Geology. Elixir International Journal*. 2019; 131:53223-53229.
12. Nduka-Chukwudi CA, Okereke JN, Mgbemena I, Ezeji EU, Chukwudi P. Assessment of Microplastic Pollution in Surface Water and Sediments of Otammiri River, Imo state, Nigeria. *Journal of*

- Advances in Biology & Biotechnology. 2024;27(7):296-317.
13. Bikker J, Lawson J, Wilson S, Rochman C. Microplastics and other anthropogenic particles in the surface waters of the Chesapeake Bay. *Marine pollution Bulletin*. 2020;156:11257.
 14. Wang S, Chen H, Zhou X, Tian Y, Lin C, Wang W, et al. Microplastic Abundance, Distribution and Composition in the Mid-west Pacific Ocean. *Environ. Pollut*. 2020; 264:114125.
 15. Prasittisopin L, Termkhajornkit P, Kim YH. Review of concrete with expanded polystyrene (EPS): performance and environmental aspects. *J. Clean. Prod*. 2022;366:132919.
 16. Dumbil E, Henderson L. The challenges of plastic pollution in Nigeria. *Plastic Waste and Recycling. Environmental Impact, Societal Issues, Prevention and Solution*. 2020;569-583.
 17. Huang D, Li X, Ouyang Z, Zhao X, Wu R, Zhang C, Lin C, Li Y, Guo X. The occurrence and abundance of microplastics in surface water and sediment of the West River downstream, in the South of China. *Sci. Total Environ*. 2021;756:143857.
 18. Adeogun A, Ibor O, Khan A, Chukwuka A, Omogbemi E, Arukwe A. Detection and occurrence of microplastics in the stomach of commercial fish species from a municipal water supply lake in southwestern Nigeria. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*. 2022;27: 31035–31045.
 19. Pittura L, Avio CG, Giuliani ME, d'Errico G, Keiter SH, Cormier B, Regoli F. Microplastics as Vehicles of Environmental PAHs to Marine Organisms: Combined Chemical and Physical Hazards to the Mediterranean Mussels, *Mytilus galloprovincialis*. *Frontiers in Marine Science*. 2020;7: 1–13.
 20. Lambert S, Wagner M. Microplastics are contaminants of emerging concern in freshwater environments: an overview freshwater microplastics. Springer, Cham. 2018;1–23.
 21. Kowalski N, Reichardt AM, Waniek JJ. Widespread distribution of microplastics in subsurface seawater in the NE Atlantic. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*. 2016;111(1–2): 219–226.
 22. Nel HA, Dalu T, Wasserman RJ. Sinks and sources: assessing microplastic abundance in river sediment and deposit feeders in an Austral temperate urban river system. *Sci. Total Environ*. 2018;612:950–956.
 23. Smith SD, Banister K, Fraser N, Edgar RJ. Tracing the Source of marine Debris on the Beaches of Northern New South Wales, Australia: The Bottles on Beaches Program. *Mar PollutBull*. 2018; 126:304–307.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/119023>